

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/699,056 | 10/27/2000 | Steven G. Doughty | 5053-31301 | 6719 |
| 7590 02/27/2007 ERIC B. MEYERTONS | | EXAMINER | | |
| CONLEY, ROSE & TAYON, P.C. P. O. BOX 398 AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398 | | | COLBERT, ELLA | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3694 | |
| | | NAW DAME | DEL INCO | VIVADE |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY | PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MONTHS | | 02/27/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | | | |
| | | 09/699,056 | DOUGHTY, STEVEN G. | | |
| | Onice Action Cumilary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | The MAII INC DATE of this communication and | Ella Colbert | 3694 | | |
| Period f | The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | |
| WHI - Exte afte - If No - Fail Any | HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Discussions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period oure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 N | lovember 2006. | | | |
| 2a)□ | | s action is non-final. | | | |
| 3)[| <i>,</i> — | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposit | tion of Claims | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) <u>81-95</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>81-95</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | wn from consideration. | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | |
| 9) 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12)□ a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | | |
| Attachmer | nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) ☐ Interview Summary | (PTO 413) | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notio 3) 🔲 Infor | ce of References Cited (PTO-992) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ate | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/699,056 Page 2

Art Unit: 3694

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 81-95 are pending in this communication filed 11/22/06 entered as Response to Non-Final Action (Miscellaneous Communication to Applicant). Claims 81, 86, 90, 91, and 95 have been amended.

2. The Non-Compliant specification has been overcome by Applicants' submission of a substitute Specification and is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 81 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 81, the last claim limitation recites "wherein the processing parameter ...". This claim limitation to be in the proper method step claim format should recite "configuring for use in processing the transaction-related data in the FSO computer system the processing parameter value is sent to the first program". The first word of the claim limitation should have the step begin with an "ing", for example: reading, selecting, transferring, setting, comparing, creating, sending, processing, or configuring. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 81, 86, and 91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3694

Claims 81, 86, and 91 contain one or more clauses of intended use in the independent claims which renders the claims indefinite. Specifically, claim 81 in the first claim limitation reciting "parameter from a first program, wherein the key definition ...; selecting a search mask ... wherein the selected search mask table ...; reading a first search mask ..., wherein the first search mask ...; ". Also on page 19, lines 7 and 15 contain "wherein" clauses.

The Examiner considers these limitations to only recite what is expected to happen, a desired result, or an intended use. The MPEP discusses a type of limitation in reference to "wherein" clauses. MPEP § 2111.04 states:

Claim scope is not limited by claim language that suggests or makes optional but does not require steps to be performed, or by claim language that does not limit a claim to a particular structure. However, examples of claim language, although not exhaustive, that may raise a question as to the limiting effect of the language in a claim are:

- (A) "adapted to" or "adapted for." clauses;
- (B) "wherein" clauses; and
- (C) "whereby" clauses.

Claims 86 and 91 have a similar problem with the "wherein" clause.

Claims 82-85, 87-90, and 92-95 are also rejected because they depend from a rejected base claim.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3694

Claims 81, 86, and 91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the claim limitations. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: Claim 81, the step of "if the search mask field value does not comprise a wildcard search mask field value not comparing the first processing key value to one or more key values in the database;" creating one or more additional processing key values if the first processing. key value does match any of the one or more key values stored in the database". Claims 86 and 91 have a similar problem with the conditional statements and steps missing. It is unclear what happens if X occurs or X does not occur does Y occur or does Y not occur?

Conclusion

6. After these issues are resolved, the claims will be in condition for allowance providing no new art is found when an updated search is performed and there are no other remaining issues with the claims.

Inquiries

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ella Colbert whose telephone number is 571-272-6741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, and Thursday, 5:30AM-3:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/699,056 Page 5

Art Unit: 3694

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

February 19, 2007

PRIMARY EXAMINER